

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 0057-2209-0 K

08/984,185

12/03/97

HAMANO

IM22/1221 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NUESTADT FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON VA 22202

EXAMINER MAPLES, J **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1745

DATE MAILEDI 2/21/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commany	08/984/85	HAMANO ET A	7	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit		
	DOWN ? WARY	8 1745		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address				
Period for Response				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE THATE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). 				
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
© Claim(s)		ie/are pending in the ap	ند.ie/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s) 8-13		jæ/are withdrawn from co	_غزـare withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	_ is/are allowed.	
R Claim(s) 1-7, 19-16		je/are rejected.	are rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction requirement.	•	
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been 				
□ received.				
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)				
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
➢Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther		
Office Action Summary				

Application/Control Number: 08/984,185 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

1. Claims 1-7 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. (New Rejection)

Claim 1 recites a porous adhesive resin layer joining each of the respective electrodes to the separator. This is incorrect noting that it requires two such layers to accomplish this task.

There is no antecedent basis for "hole ratio" found in each of claims 2 and 3. It is also unclear exactly how the said ratio is determined, i.e., what values make up to form the said ratio? Clarification is required.

Claims 4-7 and 14-16, dependent on claim 1, fall therewith.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-7 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (Chen) (New Rejection)

Reference is made to column 3, line 10 through column 4, line 48 of the patent to Chen along with Figures 1 and 2.

Application/Control Number: 08/984,185

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant's arguments have all been considered but are deemed moot in view of the above new grounds of rejection.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 4. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen. 5. (New Rejection)

The only claimed feature not shown by Chen is the "hole ratio". Such is deemed to be an obvious design expedient to one of ordinary skill in this art. The amount of

Application/Control Number: 08/984,185 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

holes in the adhesive vs. the amount of holes in the separator will depend on the use of the particular battery and thus a routineer would vary the "hole ratio" depending on the battery used.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is (703) 308-1795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maria Nuzzolillo, can be reached on (703) 305-3776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JSM/December 18, 1999